

World Journal on Educational Technology



Vol 8, Issue 3, (2016) 277-293

http://sproc.org/ojs/index.php/wjet

The relationship between teachers' self -efficacy, attitudes towards ICT usefulness and students' science performance in the lebanese inclusive schools 2015

Sara Kazan, Lebanese University, Faculty of Education-Deanery, Beirut, Lebanon. **Badrie ELDaou*,** Lebanese University, Faculty of Education-Deanery, Beirut, Lebanon.

Suggested Citation:

Kazan, S. & ELDaou, B. (2016). The relationship between teachers' self -efficacy, attitudes towards ICT usefulness and students' science performance in the lebanese inclusive schools 2015. *World Journal on Educational Technology*. 8(3), 277-293.

Received July 25, 2016; revised August 29, 2016; accepted September 19, 2016. Selection and peer review under responsibility of Assoc. Prof. Dr. Fezile Ozdamli, Near East University. ©2016 SciencePark Research, Organization & Counseling. All rights reserved.

Abstract

The current study explores the relationship of the perceived teacher's self-efficacy related to ICT usefulness and attitudes after training and the students' science education performance results. Also, this study examines the impact of using technology on the science learning process, the students' interaction with their teacher and colleagues, their concentration, and participation in the class. To collect data on self-evaluation, this study used qualitative and quantitative methods which helped eleven science teachers to rate their self-efficacy, knowledge, and attitudes. Consequently, measurements of teachers' attitudes with using computer technology by means of open and closed ended questionnaires and The Computer Technology Integration Survey (CTIS) took place in 2014- 2015 academic year. Also, special needs students' performance results were collected preand post ICT training. This study identified possible influences on self-efficacy beliefs, perceived usefulness of computer technology, and ratings of self-efficacy beliefs toward technology integration. Findings of this study revealed that teachers' self-efficacy in the level of technology use, and attitudes have significant effects on the grades and interaction of students with special needs. The results indicated that participants of group one, who were trained, were able to better define and apply technology in the science classroom than group two which was not being trained. The findings suggest that knowledge and beliefs can influence teachers' intent to use technology in the classroom, especially as evidenced by the integration of ICT in their lesson plans. Moreover, results indicate a significant positive Pearson correlation r=.6 between teachers' self-efficacy, knowledge,

E-mail address: badriyadaw@yahoo.com

^{*}ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: **Badrie ELDaou**, Lebanese University, Faculty of Education-Deanery, Beirut, Lebanon.

Kocoğlu, F., Ö., Gülsecen, S., Erol, C., S. & Kocoğlu, E., S. (2016). Internet-Based learning from the perspective of employees: A study on analysis of awareness, use case and effectiveness. World Journal on Educational Technology. 8(1), 01-09.

attitudes, and special education students' science results. Recommendations, implications and future research were discussed.

Keywords: Perceived self –efficacy, Information and Communication Technology- ICT, academic performance, Inclusive education

1. Introduction

During the last few years, Information and Communication Technology (ICT) has become one of the basic building blocks of the Lebanese society. Saad (2013) stated that the popularity of ICT among the Lebanese citizens is growing at an exponential rate, and has become an indispensable tool in performing their daily tasks. According to Jabbour (2011; MEHE, 2012; as cited by Saad, 2013), ICT devices such as laptops, tablets, tablet PCs, and smartphones are finally in the hands of most, not to say 100%, of the Lebanese teenagers and adults. Saad (2013) emphasized that the changes in the Lebanese society can be explained by the rapid infusion of ICT, and its converging technologies have influenced the way people work, communicate, and learn. Because, "one cannot teach or learn nowadays the same way as a century ago, more particularly, the quick and deep changes brought by ICT have a strong influence on knowledge, teaching, learning", (Cornu, 2010). Moreover, to establish a strong foundation for ICT in education, a strategic plan proposed several goals and objectives by the Lebanese Ministry of Education and Higher Education (MEHE, 2012) to be achieved by 2017. The first goal stated that 100 percent of students and teachers in Lebanon will have ready access to appropriate classroom technologies that support the fulfillment of curricular and instructional objectives in all subject areas. Attaining this goal will involve meeting the following objectives: the first objective revolves around individual access to technology, and it stresses that 100 percent of students will have access to a shared digital device (tablet, laptop, etc.) as part of classroom learning activities. The second objective proposes that 100 percent of schools will be provided with sustainable and affordable instructional technology of various configurations (MEHE, 2012). Moreover, the objective 1.4.3 (MHEH, 2012) suggests that Technology and productivity is important in order to enable educators to manage content standards and performance indicators, and link them to locally developed curriculum, instruction, and assessment. Consequently, the Lebanese people become educated, are rapidly changing and teachers are scrambling to adapt to these approaches (MEHE, 2012).

2. Background and Significance of The study

2.1. Background of the Problem

According to professor Hassan Diab, the Lebanese the Minister of Education and Higher Education (MEHE) (2012, as cited in MEHE,2012,p.1), Lebanon's National Educational Technology Strategic Plan provides a roadmap and a set of recommended actions to ensure the integration of ICT within the Lebanese general education system. He added that this five-year plan (to be put into effect by 2017) is based on six pillars—infrastructure, curriculum, instruction, assessment, professional development, and management and leadership. The Director-General of Education for the Ministry of Education and Higher Education, Mr. Fadi Yarak (2012; as cited in MEHE, 2012, p.3) stated that if integrated into the curriculum, instruction, and assessment by skilled teachers supported by strong leaders, ICT can create new potential opportunities for students and teachers to acquire lifelong learning. Moreover, Dr. Leila Maliha Fayad (2012; as cited in MEHE,p.2), the President of the Center for Educational Research and Development (CERD) said that teachers—the linchpin to the success of the vision and goals outlined in this strategic plan—can successfully deliver high-quality, interactive, and outcome-based instruction that is responsive and adaptive to the changing needs of the Lebanese students.

Moreover, in the year 2000, the Lebanese government revealed an interest in inclusion which was expressed in the Lebanese Law 220, which stated that inclusion as a policy will be promoted. However,

Law 220 does not legally oblige schools to accept all learners. Indeed, the matter has been left in the hands of inclusive schools to accept or reject children with a disability in their classes. As a result, special education needs (SEN) students are able to continue their education in a mainstream milieu; mainly those with mild disability remain the majority of disabled students educated in mainstream schools (Kochen, 2012).

Isamail (2013) stated that in Lebanon the inclusive education (IE) drive, which is led and supported by governmental, non-governmental, and international organizations, has the potential to promote some key changes and establish a more inclusive society. In addition, arrangements were made in coordination with the Ministry of Education and Higher Education (MEHE), Center Education Research Development (CERD), British Council, and the representatives of private schools and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) to announce an annual national day for students with learning difficulties on the 22nd of April (Isamail ,2013). This day was celebrated in 2013 and 2014 and aimed to educate parents and other members of society about the importance of inclusive education. The main focus of the celebration placed an emphasis specifically on students with learning disabilities and explaining reasons for private educational institutions to pay special attention to this issue with the goal of increasing the chances of success for students with learning disabilities (Ismail, 2013).

However, as more children with disabilities are served in the inclusive settings (U.S. Department of Education, 2010; as cited by Whitby, Leininger & Grillo, 2012) and are expected to compete academically with their peers (No Child Left Behind Act of 2001; as cited by Whitby, Leininger & Grillo, 2012), there is an increased need for interventions to support their learning. It has been suggested that technology can serve as a means to increase outcomes for students with disabilities, yet teachers report little training on how to use advanced technology such as interactive whiteboards (Campbell & Martin, 2010). In order to teach students in the inclusive setting, teachers need proper training on how to design lesson plans using the interactive whiteboards that support the strengths and weaknesses of all students (Shatila,2015).

Technology can grasp students' attention, and create an interest in science. Even though it is obvious that integrating technology in the science curriculum can improve student learning, it is still required to understand the conceptions of pre-service teachers toward technology incorporation in the science education (Rehmat & Bailey, 2014).

An essential factor for an effective technology integration is the teacher, since he or she directly indicates the best instructional practices for his or her students (Hite, 2005; O'Bannon & Judge, 2004; as cited by Rehmat & Bailey, 2014). Farah (2011) mentions that educators who feel uncomfortable using technology are unlikely to incorporate the use of it in the classroom because of the fear associated with using something they have limited experience using. Previous studies about ICT usability have identified several factors that may play a role in teachers' decisions to integrate technology into their classrooms, self-efficacy being one of those factors (Compeau & Higgins, 1995; Kellenberger & Hendricks; Littrell, et al., 2005; Teo, 2009; Wang, Ertmer, & Newby, 2004). Furthermore, Brown, Holcomb and Lima (2010, p.121) asserted "that technology self-efficacy has come to play a crucial role in the preparation and implementation of educators who can successfully use educational technology to enhance student learning".

Bandura (1997) describes perceived self-efficacy as, "beliefs in one's capabilities to organize and execute the courses of action required to produce given attainments." As this applies to the integration of technology into education, self-efficacy beliefs toward technology integration have been theorized to be a determining factor of how well a teacher is able to effectively use technology to improve teaching and learning (Albion, 2001; Enochs, Riggs, & Ellis, 1993; Kellenberger, 1996; Riggs & Enochs, 1993). Simply stated, a teacher's perception that he or she can effectively use technology in the process of teaching and learning will impact that teacher's ability to do so. However, the factors that influence self-efficacy beliefs towards technology integration remain largely ambiguous, particularly when examining the possible influences of teachers' attitudes towards technology and also their direct application in their lesson plans (Brown, Holcomb and Lima, 2010).

2.2. Significance of the study

It is important then, to address teachers' technology self-efficacy and identify ways in which it can be influenced (Farah, 2011). Yet, studies that attempt to identify and explain how technology self-efficacy is constructed have been conducted quantitatively. Hence, El-Daou (2015) stated that concurrent qualitative and quantitative data would enrich future research on computer self-efficacy for teachers. More specifically, she recommended follow-up interviews with teachers, which would assist in obtaining more detailed data on the qualitative portion of a study of this nature. This is supported by the fact that the current literature in computer self-efficacy lacks a combination of qualitative and quantitative studies in Lebanon (EL-Daou, 2015).

So far little research, that has a combination of mixed-methodology studies, has been conducted; but there was no attempt to further validate the research questions (EL-Daou, 2015). In addition, assistive technology it is being used rarely in some inclusive schools which may lead to some learning obstacles (Kazan, 2015). Accordingly, the purposes of this study is to examine the relationship between the science teachers' self-efficacy, attitudes towards the integration of ICT, and the students' academic performance in inclusive schools. Also, to compare the teachers' technology knowledge and usability, the level of teachers' technology self-efficacy, and the teachers' attitude towards integrating technology in teaching in four private Lebanese inclusive schools. Hence, this study is intended to contribute to the literature by investigating such an impact in the Lebanese schools as long as the application of the assistive technology such as the Active Inspire program in the teaching and learning process is still primitive (EL-Daou, 2015).

3. Method

3.1 ICT Training

Under the heading of Strategic Plan, and the Continuous Professional Training Development Program, Shatila (2015) stated that 15,000 students and 1,200 teachers benefitted from Information and Communication Technology (ICT) training in three phases from kindergartens (KGs) until high school, performed by Eduware and Promethean companies. With the support of Eduware Company, Makassed teachers not only gained ICT skills, but also they developed a great experience in integrating ICT into any subject they teach.

As Shatila (2015) mentioned, the ICT training have developed in-depth courses and performed training Active Learning workshops using Active Inspire program for AL-Makassed's Philanthropic Islamic Association teachers since 2008 until 2015. The strategic plan has started in 2008 in three inclusive schools, and in the academic year 2010/2011 the active boards were available in all the classes, so that teachers we able to start using these boards while attending workshops. Each course contains proven, successful methods for delivering high-quality instruction with measurable results. Face-to-face workshops offer a hands-on, practical way to learn more about the Active Inspire or Version 3 software. Courses were available as either half or full days and are led by accredited Promethean trainers. These courses can accommodate up to 12 teachers at a certain location, according to the deal with AL-Makassed's Philanthropic Islamic Association principal. In fact, the training sessions were either on Fridays or after classes, It was a half-day training for two to three hours per day. The teachers met two days per week during the whole academic year of 2010-2011.

According to Shatila (2015), among the ICT continuous training in education, topics learned by AL-Makassed's teachers are:

- 1- Technology Knowledge (TK): This track tackled general essential knowledge about computer parts, programs, hardware and software.
- 2- Pedagogical Knowledge (PK): This track tackled essential knowledge about education basics.

3- Understanding ICT in education (digital native students, teachers' changing role), Wikis, Blogs, Web quests, Educational software (drill and practice, tutorial, games, simulation...), Assure Model, Innovative Teachers (VCT-Virtual Classroom Tour), Learning Essentials, Microsoft Producer for PowerPoint, Authoring tool (LCDS-Learning Content Development System), SharePoint, Multimedia, Universal Design for Learning (UDL), 21st Century Learning Design, Teaching with Technology (Flashcards, AutoCollage, Photosynth, Songsmith, Sticky Sorter, Deep Zoom, MS Mathematics 4, Project Tuva, Photo Story, One Note, Office 365, One Drive).

Now, almost all AL-Makassed teachers are self-learners and expert researchers in a way that any kind of new tool will not be an obstacle to explore, learn, and use in their classes by their own. Such workshop is done continuously, to give the opportunity for new teachers to be ready for using the active board like their colleagues (Shatila, 2015).

On the other hand, teachers in the fourth school American Academy College were considered group (two) that rarely use technology in teaching and were not trained to use active boards in science teaching. They rely on what they learned during their university studies. The school administrator was selected by the British Council to undergo ICT training (five hours for three days in 2013-2014), and in her turn the director conducted the training for some teachers on ICT skills to integrate computer literacy, Microsoft Office, Internet Outlook, Movie Maker Digital Image Suite, and internet web tools in their lesson plans at the beginning of the academic years and 2013-2014. Most of the teachers working during this academic year did not have similar training as in AL-Makassed's Philanthropic Islamic Association school (MPIAS) teachers (group one). Also, technological tools are scarcely available for all the teachers, hence using technology can't be done constantly (Kazan, 2015).

3.2. Procedures

According to the above cited ICT training and instruments, participants were divided into two groups in order to compare teachers' beliefs towards technology, their technology self-efficacy levels and lesson plans, as well as the academic performance of special needs students in science subject between groups one and group two of teachers.

This research studied the relationship between the science teachers' usability and knowledge of technology after ICT training, their self-efficacy level, and attitudes towards technology from one side and the students' performance level in science subject as another side. Qualitative and quantitative data analysis was performed after data collection during February and March of the academic year 2014/2015, using four surveys; an open-ended technology integration survey and yes/ no survey in order to understand their conceptions of technology integration, definitions of technology, their attitudes toward incorporating technology into their teaching. An initial survey was used to gauge teachers' current level of technology self-efficacy. Another survey was used to identify the teachers' knowledge concerning using ICT. The surveys were administered to seven science teachers at four inclusive schools. Three schools using ICT constantly are considered as group one, and one school rarely using ICT is considered group two. Also, teachers' science lesson plans were checked and classroom observation took place in four schools. The science classes were attended by a female post graduate student in February 2015. Also, comparison of the special needs students' grades in science subject in term1 and term 2 took place. The special education students' grades indicate the effect of using ICT on students' results or the academic performance and interaction in science classes. In addition, comparison of the above mentioned aspects between group one and group two was performed.

3.3 Participants

Participants in this study were eleven science teachers selected from four Lebanese private inclusive schools: AL-Makassed Philanthropic Islamic Association schools(MPIAS) (three teachers from

school A, two from school B, and two from school C) and four science teachers from Beirut American College (school D; four teachers). For the purpose of this study, participants of the three schools (A, B, C) led by AL-Makassed's Philanthropic Islamic Association (MPIAS) will be referred to as group one (seven science teachers), since they use technology constantly. They have active boards in their classes and participants of school D (four science teachers) will be referred to as group two since they rarely use technology. The number of special education students corresponding to each school is presented as following Kazan (2015) method:

- 1) School "A" participants: Three teachers; teacher "6": teaches: grade 2, 3 / teacher "7": teaches: grade 4 / teacher "5" teaches: grade 5, 6.
- 2) School "B" participants: Two teachers; teacher "4": teaches: grade 1, 2, 5 / teacher "3": teaches: grade 3, 4, and 6.
- 3) School "C" participants: Two teachers; teacher "2": teaches: grade 1, 2, 3/ teacher "1": teaches: grade 4, 5.
- 4) School "D" participants: Four teachers; teacher "8": teaches: grade 1/ teacher "11": teaches: grade 2/ teacher "10": teaches: grade 6/ teacher "9": teaches grade 11.

It is worth mentioning that, the ethical aspect regarding administration permission to conduct the research was taken into consideration in this study. Also, names of students with special needs and their teachers were kept secret for privacy purposes.

3.4 Study Instruments

Data collection tools of this study were four surveys that played an important role in investigating the research questions. Surveys were used since it is a suitable tool to gather information on specific topics and allow the investigator to obtain numerical information from particular populations (Fowler, 2008; as cited in Kazan, 2015).

The first survey about using technology in education, aimed to indicate the participants' technology background and to indicate if the participants of group 1 and participants of group 2 have similar background concerning some essential technological skills in the education field.

The survey was divided into two main parts. In Part one, teachers were asked about their names, date of the survey, the school name, the center they got trained in and general questions about using a computer. Part two aimed to assess the teachers' capability in using some programs on the computer and Internet. Part two was divided into parts; each part handled a certain program: operating system, Microsoft word, Microsoft excel, Microsoft Power Point, Email and Internet Explorer, Web 2, and Active Inspire.

In each part there was a group of statements, using a four-point scale ranging from 1 (advanced), to 4 (unaccomplished). Participants have to put a (②) near each statement in the appropriate column according to their ability; advanced, beginner, weak, unaccomplished. All items are positively and consistently worded with the initial stem of —I can ...||. This survey was taken from an educational workshop it was translated and modified by the researcher.

The second survey using Computer Technology Integration survey, (CTI) aimed to determine participant's confidence level with integrating technology into classroom teaching (Wang et al., 2004). This survey answered the research question: Is there any difference between group 1 and group 2 science teachers related to their self-efficacy level towardstechnology? There were twenty-one statements using a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1, SD (Strongly Disagree) to 5, SA (Strongly Agree). All twenty-one items were positively and consistently worded with the initial stem of —I feel confident that...|

For purposes of quantifying survey results, each of the 21 survey items had five choices using a Likert scale, which were assigned point values ranging from 1 to 5. The following point values were assigned to each descriptor:

1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neutral; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree.

Participants' survey results were quantified into three categories using the aforementioned assigned point values: low-to-medium technology self-efficacy, with a point range of 22—72, medium-to-high technology self-efficacy, with a point range of 73—89, and very high technology self-efficacy with a point range of 90—105.

This survey was stated in a study "Factory Influencing Teachers' Technology Self-efficacy: A Case Study" (Farah, 2011). The author for both content and validity reviewed this survey. The content validity of this survey was found to be convincing after a panel of experts in the area of self-efficacy reviewed the survey items. The evidence of construct validity is mainly empirical in nature. A factor analysis was conducted on the survey data gathered in the similar study and the researchers found the survey to be a valid instrument for measuring the constructs.

The third survey using Pre-service Teachers Technology Integration Survey, (PT TIS) aimed to understand the indicated science teachers' perceptions and attitudes regarding the integration of technology in science classroom and their definitions of technology term.

It is an open-ended survey stated in (Rehamat & Bailey, 2014). This survey consisted of 11-item open-ended questions. The survey included also demographic questions.

The fourth one is the Computer Attitude Survey, which aimed to identify the teachers' attitude level stated in (Arani, 2001). It was a short survey that included six statements, which should be answered by yes/no. This computer attitude scale is based on the Loyd and Gressard's original format (1984; as cited by Arani, 2001).

It is worth mentioning that the three surveys (one, two, & four) are quantitative tools, where the participants were graded according to their answers in each survey. Whereas the fourth survey (3) is a qualitative tool since it consists of open-ended questions.

These four surveys were distributed among the eleven teachers participating in this study during their free time. Surveys were filled in researcher's presence for appropriate and accurate answers. The results showed comparison between the two groups (one and two) of participants using SPSS 20 version (Kazan, 2015). Also, students' academic achievement results in science subject were collected to see the impact of ICT teachers' training on the academic achievement and classroom interaction, as well as to study the relationship between teachers 'self-efficacy, attitudes in using technology and students' academic performance in science subject. Mean, standard deviation, and t-test were performed for each of the aspects mentioned above to examine the difference between the teachers' capabilities in the two groups.

3.5 Lesson plans

Teachers' lesson plans copies were provided during the science class observation, to decide whether technology was incorporated in their lesson plans as teacher directed or student centered. Hence, to analyze the prepared lesson plans and the corresponding class presentations, and evaluate the application of technology in their science classes.

In accordance to students with special needs academic performance: once the students' science exams of term two resulted, the four school administrations are asked to give us the science term one and two grades of the students with special needs. Consequently, we can identify whether students' grades improved from term to term or not due to the constant presence of technology of group one and its rare presence of group two (Kazan, 2015).

Interaction and participation of students with special needs is one of the main focused aspects during the observation in science classes. The purpose was to indicate if the active board, which is considered the main technological tool, facilitates the science learning process, affects the students' interaction with their teacher and their colleagues, their concentration, and participation in the class. All this is done to determine whether technology integration in the classroom was beneficial and had a positive impact on students in group one. With respect to classes of group two, the focus will be on the effect of the rare presence of technology in science teaching on the students' interaction.

4. Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study is mostly grounded in Albert Bandura's social cognitive theory. Self-efficacy is a major component of social cognitive theory. According to Bandura (2006; as cited by Farah, 2011), humans are their own agents of change because they are in charge of choosing their actions; therefore, humans are producers and not only products of the environment. Moreover, Henson (2002; as cited by Farah, 2011) stated that agency is affected by people's efficacy, since their beliefs about their efficacy have the power to influence and determine their choices, effort, determination, and even emotions. Self-efficacy is a major component of social cognitive theory, motivation and constructive thinking. Self-efficacy beliefs determine how people feel, think, motivate themselves, and behave (Bandura, 1994). Efficacy involves one's own perceptions or thinking about his or her ability, and connects to motivation. Bandura (2002) claimed that People's thoughts influence their actions and motivate them to attempt or restrain from a certain behavior. Farah (2011) stated that the teachers teaching behavior is affected by their efficacy and their beliefs about their abilities. Teaching instructional behavior also includes how they plan and prepare the strategies and procedures they implement, the tools used, and their personal presence when delivering instruction (Farah, 2011).

In addition, Bandura (1977; as cited by (Farah, 2011) stated that the self-efficacy has four general sources of efficacy building information, which includes verbal persuasion, vicarious learning experiences, physiological arousal, and performance accomplishments. These four sources can be used in understanding efficacy and ultimately one 's behavior. People with strong self-efficacy believe in their capabilities to undertake and accomplish challenging tasks, and this factor motivates them to keep going, even when faced with obstacles along the way. On the contrary, people who have a low self-efficacy level doubt their own capabilities. They give up easily, experience anxiety, and lack accomplishing challenging tasks when confronting obstacles (Bandura, 1994).

Cassady (2000; as cited by EL-Daou, 2015) described self-efficacy determines whether tools and capabilities are necessary to accomplish specific tasks. Current theoretical approaches to the integration of technology acknowledge that learners' computer knowledge, experience, and expertise do impact on their perceived self-efficacy. In turn, increasing self-efficacy is claimed to improve learners' academic performance and the institutional environment (Jungert&Rosander, 2010; as cited by EL-Daou, 2015). Technology is included by standards of education adopted nowadays and in the future.

Technology has a great role in providing important supports for students with special needs in domains of education, self-care, employment, community living, and leisure. In addition, technology supports students with rich learning experiences. It develops their thinking skills and their capability to solve problems, besides playing a role in the real life beyond the learning environment. Computer technology could be employed for science education to students with special needs. It leads to a cognitive pattern of engagement and motivation of instructional tools, which individualizes the mode of delivery, developing special teachers, fortifying the teaching process, integrating science with other subjects, decreasing cognitive load on the memory functioning, and encouraging students to stay on task. (Ahmad et al., 2012). Moreover, both the teacher and the student can assess their objective

Kocoğlu, F., Ö., Gülsecen, S., Erol, C., S. & Kocoğlu, E., S. (2016). Internet-Based learning from the perspective of employees: A study on analysis of awareness, use case and effectiveness. World Journal on Educational Technology. 8(1), 01-09.

achievements independently (Vashisht & Malik, 2001; as cited by Ahmad et al., 2012). The research findings reported below provide related insights (Kazan, 2015).

5. Variables

5.1. Teachers' Usefulness of ICT

Teachers' usefulness of ICT is measured by:

- 1) The classroom observation during the science class presentations session. A focused Classroom observation related to the usage of ICTs (which was mainly the active board in school A, the LCD or the laptop in school B) in science classes, was conducted in four inclusive Lebanese private schools, by a female post graduate student in February 2015 (Kazan,2015). The researcher noticed how the teaching process is going on in an inclusive setting. Another goal for observation, is to record the interaction of special needs students in an inclusive science class. Six classes (42 sessions as a total number.) were attended with each teacher, based on an organized schedule with the school manager and the science teachers.
- 2) Checking the teacher's science lesson plans to evaluate the application of technology in their science classes.

5.2 Teacher's Self-Efficacy

Teachers' self-efficacy is measured by filling the science teachers The Computer Technology Integration (CTI) survey (Wang et al., 2004), to determine their confidence level with integrating technology into classroom teaching. The survey's results were then quantified and categorized into three levels: low-to-medium, medium-to-high and very high technology self-efficacy. So these levels were determined according to the total score of participants' surveys.

5.3 Teachers' Self-Perception

The open-ended Pre-Service Teachers Technology Integration Survey (PTTIS) was designed to measure the science teachers' perceptions regarding the integration of technology in the science sessions and their definitions of technology.

5.4 Teacher's Attitudes

Computer Attitude Survey: The science teachers' attitudes towards technology is measured by a short survey developed by Murphy (Arani, 2001).

5.5 Students' Academic Performance

Students' academic performance is measured by analyzing the special needs students' science exam results (term1 and term 2 science exams).

6. Research questions and hypotheses

The following research hypotheses were examined:

The general hypothesis: There is a significant correlation between the teachers' technology backgroundknowledge, attitude, self-efficacy and students' results.

- 1- Teachers who underwent training will show a better ICT Knowledge and integration, a higher attitude and self-efficacy level than those who were not trained properly.
- 2- Teachers' ICT training will enhance the students' motivation, participation and academic results in inclusive classes.

7. Results and Discussion

7.1 Correlation between the variables:

Results in tables 1(a,b,c) showed that the teachers' background, attitude and self-efficacy as well students' academic performance were correlated since the Pearson correlation coefficient r=0.6. Findings showed a positive and strong relation because it is near one. So, as the teachers' technology background, attitude and/or self-efficacy increases positively the students' academic performance increases too. Hence the general hypothesis was proven.

Table 1 a. Correlation: Teachers' Background & Students' Results

		differ2	Background
differ2	Pearson Correlation	1	.648**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	58	58
Background	Pearson Correlation	.648**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	58	58

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) (Kazan, 2015)

Table 1. b Correlation: Teachers' Attitude & Students' Results

	•	Attitude	differ2
Attitude	Pearson Correlation	1	.648**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	58	58
differ2	Pearson Correlation	.648**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	58	58

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). (Kazan, 2015)

		differ2	Self-Efficacy
differ2	Pearson Correlation	1	.648**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	58	58
Self-Efficacy	Pearson Correlation	.648**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	58	58

Table 1.c Correlation: Teachers' Self-efficacy & Students' Results

7.2. Technology Knowledge

The following paragraphs will answer the hypothesis 1, mentioned above: Teachers who underwent training will show a better ICT Knowledge and integration, a higher attitude and self-efficacy level than those who were not trained properly.

Teachers' technology knowledge was determined as a result of quantifying participants' survey responses, supported with the class observation in some aspects of this knowledge. Results showed that group one (M=95.8, SD= 0.37), group two (M=40.2, SD= 9.0) with df (3, 9). Also, a series of t- test showed that there was a slight difference between groups one and two since p= 0.29, which is greater than 0.05.

With respect to teachers' technology definition, group one teachers gave better definitions of technology than group two teachers. Group one definitions had a richer content on the role of technology in our lives with respect to many aspects, education being one of them. They also stated many modern technological tools. In contrast, group two definitions were so limited and general, they did not mention the role of technology in education, and they indicated few technological tools.

This finding is supported by many researchers. For example, Brooks-Young (2005; as cited by Farah, 2011) stated that teachers need specific professional development opportunities to move into later stages of proficiency and be fully ready to integrate technology as a teaching tool.

Some teachers felt they lacked the confidence to go back to their classrooms and use instructional technology, because they had not learned enough to troubleshoot a problem if something went wrong when using the tool or resource (Farah, 2011). Findings of the study signify that group two teachers had an inadequate level of knowledge and skills of using technology.

7.3 Teachers' Attitudes

Teachers' attitudes were measured using Murphy's survey, an open ended survey, which helped in identifying an attitude level for each teacher. Results in Murphy's survey, revealed in table 2 the means and standard deviations in each group. Group one (M=5.4, SD= 0.53) and group two (M=3.7, SD= 0.5). A series of t-test showed that there was no difference between groups one and two since p= 0.27(table 2b), which is greater than 0.05. The findings also suggest that knowledge and beliefs can influence teachers' intent to use technology in the classroom, especially as evidenced by their lesson plans (Cullen and Greene, 2011). Furthermore, teachers' knowledge and beliefs play a vital role in their practices, shaping the learning that goes on inside their classroom (Pan and Carroll, 2002).

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). (Kazan, 2015)

Kocoğlu, F., Ö., Gülsecen, S., Erol, C., S. & Kocoğlu, E., S. (2016). Internet-Based learning from the perspective of employees: A study on analysis of awareness, use case and effectiveness. World Journal on Educational Technology. 8(1), 01-09.

Table 2a. Teachers' Attitudes

	groups	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	
Attitude	1	7	5.43	.535	
	2	4	3.75	.500	
(Kazan, 2015)					

Table 2b. Teachers' Attitudes

	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances			
	F	Sig.	t	Df
Attitude Equal variances assumed	1.357	.274	5.118	9
Equal variances not assumed	d		5.222	6.757

(Kazan, 2015)

7.4 Teachers' current technology self-efficacy levels

Teachers' current technology self-efficacy levels were determined as a result of quantifying participants' survey responses. The CTI survey was given to teachers to determine the difference in their technology self- efficacy levels. Means and standard deviations were obtained for each group. Table 3a. showed that group 1 (M=94.8, SD= 6.4), group 2 (M= 70.7, SD= 0.9). A series of t- test showed that there was a significant difference between group 1 & 2 since p= 0.01 which is less than 0.05 (table 3b).

Group one teachers' self-efficacy level is higher than group two teachers. Accordingly, the second hypothesis was proven. However, the teachers of group one earned almost the similar rating of technology self-efficacy which placed their score in the very high category. Group 2 scores were in the low-to-medium category, they did not have the chance to use technology constantly in teaching, and it was clear during class observation that they liked using it rarely. Yet, they showed eagerness to have proper training and have access to advanced technology at school.

Table3a. Teachers 'Self-efficacy

	groups	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Self -efficacy	1	7	94.86	6.362
	2	4	70.75	.957

(Kazan, 2015)

Kocoğlu, F., Ö., Gülsecen, S., Erol, C., S. & Kocoğlu, E., S. (2016). Internet-Based learning from the perspective of employees: A study on analysis of awareness, use case and effectiveness. World Journal on Educational Technology. 8(1), 01-09.

	Ta	able 3b. Teache	ers 'Self-efficacy		
		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances			
		F	Sig.	t	df
Self-efficacy	Equal variances assumed	8.772	.016	7.363	9
	Equal variances not assumed			9.832	6.465
(Kazan, 2015)					

This difference is due to the constant usage of technology by group one teachers, plus their motivation and positive attitude towards technology. However, group two teachers rarely used technology, and they seemed unmotivated enough as group one teachers. Most of group two teachers asked for help when facing a certain trouble while using the available technology tools, and they felt angry, which meant they did not feel confident enough to face such situations. It is worth mentioning that few of them expressed that they would like to be trained and have more technology tools available at their school.

The results of this study was supported by Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), which emphasizes that various types of factors, including personal, behavioral, and environmental, contribute to the development of one's efficacy. Finding can be explained by the work of Vannatta and Fordham (2004; as cited by Farah, 2011). They found that risk-taking and being open to change attitudes contributed to teachers 'decisions to use technology. Since participants of group one who had a previous knowledge of instructional technology, had higher self-efficacy than those who lacked knowledge of instructional technology (group two). The increased knowledge may be interpreted due to some teachers' decisions to go beyond their own time to learn how to use technology, as the teacher who earned full score in the CTI survey, which means that she or he had a higher self-efficacy with respect to all the participants.

7.5 Teachers' science Lesson plans

During class observation in science classes, the teachers' science lesson plans were read and analyzed. It is worth mentioning that teachers' definition of technology, attitude towards technology, technology knowledge, and technology self-efficacy level was apparent in their science lesson plans. Group one teachers showed a positive attitude toward technology integration. However, group two teachers didn't show such positive attitude as much as group one teachers. Group one teachers also wrote the lesson procedure the way they are going to implement it in class. The findings suggest that knowledge and beliefs can influence teachers' intent to use technology in the classroom, especially as evidenced by their lesson plans (Kazan,2015; Cullen and Greene, 2011; as cited by Rehmat& Bailey, 2014).

Will using technology in teaching students with special needs improve academic performance in science?

To identify the size of the ICT treatment effect, a comparison was done to the special students' science grades between group 1 students and group 2, in order to identify the improvement in their grades between the result of the first science exam and term 2 exam, after the usage of technology in the learning process in science subject. Means and standard deviations were calculated for the students' science grades of term 1 and then term 2 for each group. Group 1, term 1 (M= 13.01, SD= 2.16), term 2 (M= 15.3, SD= 1.75). Group 2, term 1 (M= 11.9, SD= 1.65), term 2 (M= 25.7, SD= 0.5). A

series of t- test showed that there was difference between group 1 & 2 since p= 0.00 which is less than 0.05.

7.6 Academic Performance in Science Subject

Success of technology integration in science class is measured in terms of student academic performance in science subject. It seems reasonable to assume that this is an accurate indicator of success (Jenkinson, 2009). In this study, it was found that there was a statistically significant difference between the two groups regarding the academic performance of students with special needs. Accordingly, hypothesis 2 was proven: Teachers' ICT training will enhance the students' motivation, participation and academic results in inclusive classes. In fact, the grades of students of group 1 revealed a significant improvement, but most of the students' grades of group 2 had not improved or are still constant. A few of them had a slight improvement in their grades.

Term1 and Term2 students' grades were calculated and analyzed using mean and standard deviation. Group 1, term 1 (M= 13.01, SD= 2.16), term 2 (M= 15.3, SD= 1.75). Group 2, term 1 (M= 11.9, SD= 1.65), term 2 (M= 27.8, SD= 0.0) (Kazan, 2015). A series of t-test showed that there is a difference between group one and two since p= 0.00, which is less than 0.05. In fact, the integration of technology in the classroom could mark a shift from traditional methods of teaching to a more constructivist method of teaching, thus enhancing student learning (Matzen and Edmunds, 2007). In addition, researches that examine constructivist teaching and learning models indicate that technology brings complexity to the tasks students perform and raises student motivation (Herzig, 2004).

Table 4a and table 4 b showed a significant improvement of grades of group 1 students results; however, most of the students' grades of group 2 did not improve or were still constant. Few of them had a slight improvement in their grades (table 4c and 4d).

Table 4 a. Science results					
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation		
first3 schools	43	13.01	2.162		
4th school	43	15.33	1.796		
(Kazan, 2015)			_		

Table 4b. Science results					
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)		
first3 schools	39.321	42	.000		
4th schoolsl	55.780	42	.000		
(Kazan, 2015)					

Table 4c. Group 2 Science results					
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation		
pregroup2	15	11.9000	1.75459		
postgroup2	15	11.9333	1.65688		
(Kazan, 2015)					

Table 4d. Group 2 Science results					
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)		
pregroup2	26.157	14	.000		
postgroup2	27.778	14	.000		
(Kazan, 2015)					

7.7 Students' interaction during class work

Class observation in science inclusive classes helped the researcher to notice the students' interaction during class work. Observation results indicated positive interaction of group one special needs students with science teachers due to the integration of the active board in their lesson plans. Consequently, it is an effective technological tool since students were actively involved during the science session. Besides, technology can promote science learning by developing interest and motivation in science, for example by allowing students to participate in real data analysis such as through citizen science projects providing access to information, such as to data not otherwise gatherable in the classroom and scaffolding the learning process with tactile and strategic support (Wang et al. 2010). Class observation showed that special education students started to show mastery of technology and were motivated to integrate several kinds of media into projects (Kazan, 2015). In fact, technology allows the students to become more self-reliant (Herzig, 2004).

In contrast, group 2 students lacked interaction because of the rare use of technology in science classes. They seemed bored most of the time, and did not integrate technology in their projects (Kazan, 2015).

8. Implications and future research

On a more general note, the correlations demonstrated have several implications. One implication may stress on the new roles for leadership in promoting and facilitating access to and integration of ICTs into the pre-service teachers' curriculum. These conclusions demand rethinking existing practices including the need for school decision makers and leaders to better understand the beliefs, learning styles, preferences, and approaches regarding ICTs held by their staff, students, and themselves. These new and emerging roles describe well what Toma (2007) observed in the US context: "Activities emerging on the periphery of American universities and colleges of all types have challenged traditional conceptions of governance, particularly how to properly involve faculty." (p. 57).

To achieve change in practical terms, In-service teachers' experiences should be increased through more exposure to computers and ICTs and the facilitation of their use. Furthermore, providing them with proper access to computers and ICTs as well as technical support, has the potential to enhance their levels of self-efficacy and confidence to make pedagogical changes. Increasing their training and providing them with more computer and ICTs related knowledge and skills, through available courses and open workshop sessions, are essential for enhancing their self-efficacy levels, as well as creating motivation and more positive attitudes towards ICTs.

Due to the limitation of sample number, future research is recommended to duplicate this study on a lager sample of science teachers in inclusive Lebanese schools and in other Arab countries. Also, a follow up study is recommended to examine the students' self-report regarding ICT integration in inclusive classes. Moreover, amixed method research is suggested to observe the integration of ICT in other subjects such as math in order to study the effect of students' training (using Apps on their laptops, iPads, & internet programs) on their academic performance and class participation in

inclusive classes or resource rooms. Future research is needed to determine the technology integration barriers in the Lebanese curriculum.

Acknowledgement

This article is dedicated to the Lebanese University, Faculty of Education, Post Graduate Special Education Program. This study is related to a thesis prepared by the student Sara Kazan in 2015 under the superviosion of Dr. Badrie EL Daou, and titled as: The Effect of Assistive Technology (at) on Science Teachers' Self-Efficacy, and Attitude in Inclusive Schools.

References

- Abbit, Jason & Klett, D., Mitchell. (2011). Identifying Influences on Attitudes and Self-Efficacy Beliefs Towards Technology Integration Among Pre-service Educators. Retrieved from the *Electronic Journal for the Integration of Technology in Education, Vol. 6.*
- Ahmad, W., Kumar, P., Nehra, D. & Kumar, V. (2012). Emergence of Information Communication Technology in Management of Children with Special Needs. Retrieved from the *Delhi Psychiatry Journal*, v.15, 29, 28.
- Ahmad, W., Kumar, P., Nehra, D., & Kumar, V. (2012). Emergence of Information Communication Technology in Management of Children with Special Needs. Retrieved from the *Delhi Psychiatry Journal*, v.15, 29, 28.
- Albion, P. R. (2001). Some Factors in the Development of Self-Efficacy Beliefs for Computer Use Among Teacher Education Students. Retrieved from the *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, *9*(3), 321-347.
- Arani, O. (2001). Researching Computer Self-Efficacy. Retrieved from the *International Education Journal*, V. 2, 17, 21.
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The Exercise of Control. New York: W.H. Freeman. Retrieved from < http://psycnet.apa.org/psycinfo/1997-08589-000>
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-Efficacy: Toward a Unifying Theory of Behavioral Change. Retrieved from the *Psychological Review, v.84*. https://www.uky.edu/~eushe2/Bandura1977PR.pdf
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social Cognitive Theory: An Agentic Perspective. Retrieved from the *Annual Review of Psychology*, v.52. https://www.uky.edu/~eushe2/Bandura/Bandura2001ARPr.pdf
- Bandura, A. (1994). Self-efficacy in V.S. Ramachaudran (Ed.). Retrieved from the *Encyclopedia of Human Behavior*. New York: Academic Press.
- Brown, S., Holcomb, L. & Lima, C. (2010). Assessing the Impact of a Performance Based Assessment on Educators' Technology Self-Efficacy Measures. International Journal of Instructional Media, 37 (2), 121-132. Retrieved from Gale Database.
- Campbell, M. L. & Martin, D. (2010). Interactive Whiteboards and First Year Experience: Integrating IWBs into Pre-service Teacher Education. Retrieved from the *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 35(6), 68-75. ro.ecu.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1464&context=ajte
- Cornu, B. (2010). Digital Natives in a Knowledge Society: New Challenges for Education and for Teachers. Proceedings of International Conference IITE: ICT in Teacher Education: Policy, Open Educational Resources and Partnership. UNESCO Institute for Information Technologies in Education, Moscow: Russian Federation, 10-17. Retrieved from sunashoc.unesco.org/images/0019/001936/193658e.pdf
- EL-Daou, Badrie. (2015). The Effect of Using Computer Skills on the Teachers' Self-Rated Report and Their Active inspire Computer Technology Grades. Retrieved from unpublished paper presented in 4th Cyprus International Conference on Educational Research, 19-21 March 2015.
- Enochs, L. G., Riggs, I. M., & Ellis, J. D. (1993). The Development and Partial Validation of Microcomputer Utilization in Teaching Efficacy Beliefs Instrument in a Science Setting. Retrieved from *School Science and Mathematics*, 93(5). science and Mathematics, 93(5). science and Mathematics, 93(5). science and mailto:sonlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1949-8594.1993.tb12240.x/pdf
- Farah, A. (2011) Factors Influencing Teachers' Technology Self- efficacy: A Case Study. Lynchburg: Liberty University.

 Retrieved from digitalcommons.liberty.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1529&context=doctoral

- Herzig, R. (2004). Technology and Its Impact in the Classroom. Retrieved from *Computers & Education*, v.42, 114, 115.
- Ismail, Rana. (2013). Connecting Classrooms. Retrieved from MENA Regional Inclusion Conference, Muscat-Oman. May 19-21.
- Jabbour, K. K. (2011). Web 2.0 Technology Tools for Global Education in Lebanon. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Sciences and Technologies (IJAEST), 7*(1), 151 168. Retrieved from http://journals.indexcopernicus.com/issue.php?id=9938&id_issue=852200
- Kazan, S. (2015). The Effect of Assistive Technology (at) on Science Teachers' Self-Efficacy, and Attitude in Inclusive Schools. Retrieved from Unpublished thesis, Lebanese University, Faculty of education.
- Kellenberger, D. W. (1996). Preservice Teachers' Perceived Computer Self-Efficacy. Retrieved from the *Journal of Research on Computing in Education, 29*(2), 124. < www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/08886504.1996.10782190>
- Kellenberger, D. W. (1996). Preservice Teachers' Perceived Computer Self-Efficacy. Retrieved from the *Journal of Research on Computing in Education, 29*(2), 124. www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/08886504.1996.10782190
- Kochen, Maha (2012). Inclusive Education, An Account from Lebanon, Paper presented at the Convention of Pedagogy for Blind and Visually Impaired, Chemnitz, Germany 31st July 2012. Retrieved from www.academia.edu/.../Maha Khochen Inclusive education_an_account_from_Lebanon.
- MEHE. (2012). Teaching and Learning in the Digital Age: Lebanon's National Educational Technology Strategic Plan. The Ministry of Education and Higher Education Strategic Planning Development Team, Beirut, Lebanon. Retrieved December 10, 2013, from http://www.mehe.gov.lb/Uploads/file/TLSP.pdf
- Rehmat, A., Bailey. J. (2014). Technology Integration into a Science Classroom: Preservice Teachers' Perceptions. Retrieved from the *Science Education Technology*, V.23, 745- 747, 754, 755. https://www.learntechlib.org/p/155355
- Riggs, I. M., &Enochs, L. G. (1993). A Microcomputer Beliefs Inventory for Middle School Students: Scale Development and Validation. Retrieved from http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ465711; 25(3), 383-391.
- Saad, M. (2013). Information and Communication Technology in Building Progressive Teachers 'Knowledge Base: Cohort of Secondary Mathematics Pre- Service Teachers in Lebanon. Doctoral dissertation in Sciences of Education, Beirut-Lebanon. Retrieved from < https://lb.linkedin.com/in/miladmsaad>
- Shatila, A. (2015). Retrieved from an interview with the Director of Finance and Information Technology at the Makassed Philanthropic Association, AL-Makassed Center, August 2015, 12:45pm.
- Toma, J. D. (2007). Expanding Peripheral Activities, Increasing Accountability Demands and Reconsidering Governance in US Higher Education. Retrieved from the *Higher Education Research & Development*, 26(1), 57-72.
- Vashisht, K.C. & Malik, S. (2001). Technological Accessibility in the Field of Special Education. Retrieved from *Disability and Impairment Journal*, v.15. ≤ citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.113.1310&rep=rep1>
- Wall, A. (2004). An Evaluation of the Computer Self-Efficacy of Pre-service Teachers. Retrieved from unpublished doctoral dissertation. Tennessee State University. Nashville, Tennessee.
- Whitby, Peggy J.S., Leininger, Mark L. & Grillo, Kelly. (2012). Tips for Using Interactive Whiteboards to Increase Participation of Students with Disabilities. *Teaching Exceptional Children.* 44(6), p.50-57. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ996831
- Whitby, Peggy J. S..; Leininger, Marck L. & Grillo, Kelly. (2012). "Tips for Using Interactive Whiteboards to Increase Participation of Students with Disabilities". Retrieved from *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 44(6), 50-57.
- Williams, Perter; Hamid, R., Jamali & Nicholas, David. (2006). "Using ICT with People with Special Education Needs: What the Literature Tells Us". Retrieved from the *Aslib Proceedings*, Vol. 58 Iss 4 pp. 330 345. https://www.researchgate.net/.../241699694 Using ICT with people with special e